

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/788,566	02/21/2001	Tsuneyuki Kikuchi	Q63195	5930		
7590 11/22/2005 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER			
			CHANKONG, DOHM			
Washington, D		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
٠			2152			
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Application No		Applicant(s)			
		c	09/788,566	/788,566 KIKUC		JCHI, TSUNEYUKI		
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit			
			ohm Chankon	-	2152			
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commun	ication appear	rs on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE M may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comm ply is specified above, the maximum str hin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months a n adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will a v will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS Control In no event, how apply and will expire use the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status								
2a)⊠ This action 3) Since thi	ive to communication(s) file on is FINAL. s application is in condition accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This ac for allowance	ction is non-fire except for fo	rmal matters, pro		merits is		
Disposition of Cla	iims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-22 is/are pending in the ase above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-22 is/are rejected. 1-25 is/are objected to. 1-26 are subject to restricts	re withdrawn						
9)∐ The spec	ification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
Applicant Replacem	ing(s) filed on is/are: may not request that any objected to or declaration is objected to	ction to the draw	wing(s) be held is required if th	d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	* *		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (P osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Date		4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is in response to Applicant's remarks. Claims 1-22 are presented for further examination.
- 2> This is a final rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant is arguing in substance (a) the prior art references do not disclose a server that certificates a user, and (b) the prior art references do not disclose making annunciation to users of certain rules.

In regards to (a), Applicant further asserts that Ball's RADIUS server is not analogous to a certificate server because the claimed certificate server is responsible for "billing the user for any web-based services utilized while the user is logged onto the internet".

Contrary to Applicant's assertions, Ball's RADIUS server works with the "accounting processing" to bear the functionality of the claimed limitations [see previous action, page 4, paragraph 6]. Ball is concerned with all aspects of network management, including billing users; the RADIUS server works with the accounting process to record user activities which may be used to bill them for the services utilized [column 1 «lines 49-56» | column 3 «lines 55-65» | column 4 «lines 3-8].

Art Unit: 2152

In regards to (b), Applicant further asserts that McCreery's notification of selected individuals is not equivalent to the annunciation functionality in the claims. In particular, Applicant argues that McCreery does not notify "users" of the network. With respect to Applicant's claim 8, a user is defined as a user of an application server. Nothing in Applicant's claims distinguish its users from the selected individuals who are notified when a certain rule is in an interval. By all respects, McCreery's selected individuals, even if they are managers or administrators, may still be considered "users" of the network. As there are no limitations that distinguish the "users" in Applicant's claims from the "individuals" in McCreery's system, the Office believes the interpretation of Applicant's claims are proper.

Further, Applicant questions whether the combination would be practical. Ball discloses monitoring packets, and generating status reports concerning packet and network conditions [column 28 «lines 41-67»]. Moreover, Ball's invention is directed towards providing reports to customers from a variety of monitoring tools [column 1 «lines 35-37» | column 8 «lines 22-24»]. Ball clearly contemplates utilizing a mechanism by which network activity may be reported to customers or users of the system. McCreery's teachings would increase the functionality of this mechanism by providing an alert functionality based on rules of the network. The combination therefore is reasonable based on the references' teachings.

4> Based on the preceding remarks, the Office maintains the rejections set forth in the previous action, dated 8.16.2005.

Art Unit: 2152

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is 571.272.3942.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday [7:00 AM to 5:00 PM].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571.272.3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC

BUNJOB JAROZNCHONWANIT
PRIMARY EXAMINER